```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
2
3
                                     CASE NO. 1:19CR639
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                  )
4
            VS.
                                  )
                                      Greensboro, North Carolina
5
                                      January 19, 2021
   WALTER CLIFTON WOOD
                                      2:03 p.m.
6
7
8
                 TRANSCRIPT OF THE SENTENCING HEARING
               BEFORE THE HONORABLE CATHERINE C. EAGLES
9
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
   APPEARANCES:
12 For the Government:
                            ERIC L. IVERSON, AUSA
                             Office of the U.S. Attorney
13
                             101 S. Edgeworth Street, 4th Floor
                             Greensboro, North Carolina 27401
14
15 For the Defendant:
                             JOHN SCOTT COALTER, ESQ.
                             McKinney & Justice
16
                             910 N. Elm Street
                             Greensboro, North Carolina 27401
17
   Court Reporter:
18
                            BRIANA L. BELL, RPR
                             Official Court Reporter
19
                             P.O. Box 20991
                             Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27120
20
21
22
23
24
        Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenotype reporter.
25
         Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
```

```
1
                        PROCEEDINGS
2
        (The Defendant was present.)
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
 4
             MR. COALTER: Good afternoon.
5
             MR. IVERSON: Your Honor, Eric Iverson for the United
   States. This matter is the United States verse Walter Clifton
   Wood, 1:19CR639. This matter is on for sentencing. Mr. Wood
   is before the Court with his attorney, Mr. Coalter.
   Probation is Ms. Patton.
10
             And at the appropriate time, Your Honor, there was
11
   one item I wanted to address that was in the position paper I
   filed.
13
             THE COURT: Okay.
14
             MR. IVERSON: A correction.
15
             THE COURT: A correction. Thank you.
16
             Good afternoon, Mr. Coalter.
17
             MR. COALTER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Let's see. You've seen the presentence
18
19
   report and reviewed it with Mr. Wood?
20
             MR. COALTER: I have, Your Honor.
21
             THE COURT: And you're ready to proceed?
22
             MR. COALTER: We are.
             THE COURT: And it looks like you've withdrawn his
23
24
   objections to the presentence report?
25
             MR. COALTER: In looking at it, Mr. Wellman had
```

```
withdrawn all but two, and I have gone back, and we've
   withdrawn the remainder.
3
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 4
             Mr. Wood, if you would stand up.
5
             Good afternoon.
6
             THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon.
7
             THE COURT: Have you had time to look through your
   presentence report and talk to Mr. Coalter and get ready for
9
   today?
10
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: Do you have any questions before we get
12
   started?
13
             THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: You can be seated.
15
             So I did read everything. There were a bunch of
   sentencing memos and addendums and such, but I believe I got
16
   everything that was on the docket. I did read the report by
17
18
   Dr. Hersh, so I have seen that as well.
19
             And, Mr. Iverson, your correction, does it have to do
20
   with the guidelines or anything in the presentence report?
             MR. IVERSON: It doesn't affect the guidelines. It's
21
22
   just something -- it's more of a clarification that I feel like
23
   I should make for the record.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. Well, why don't you -- I am going
25
  to adopt the presentence report, but why don't you tell me what
```

```
it is first just so that's on the table.
2
             MR. IVERSON: Absolutely.
3
             THE COURT: And which memo it is, preferably by
4
   docket number.
5
             MR. IVERSON: Thirty. And it's actually referenced
   as 30-1. It's page 9. So attached to the sentencing
   memorandum as 30-1 was an example of communication between
   Mr. Wood and a minor living in the Midwest area of the country.
   And on page 9 of 30-1, I referenced a close-up of a female
10
   individual touching her genitalia, i.e., masturbation.
11
   referenced that in two places. I was doing that from my notes.
12
             Going back and looking, I think that's what it is,
13
   but it's an extremely blurry image. I have shown Mr. Coalter
14
   this image. So I just -- I felt it best to state that on the
15
   record, that it is very blurry. I think it is hard to tell
16
   exactly what that is. However, there are numerous files in
17
   this case that do depict clearly the victim's genitalia.
18
   just I picked a poor example. That's all.
19
             THE COURT: Thank you for correcting that.
20
             And you have no objections to the presentence report?
21
             MR. COALTER: No, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT:
                         I'll adopt the presentence report as is.
23
   And as to all matters in the report, I will adopt those as
24
   findings of fact.
25
             The total offense level is 42. The criminal history
```

```
category is V. That makes the guideline range 240 months,
   which is the statutory maximum. The supervised release
   suggestion is 5 years to life. And the fine range is 50,000 to
   $250,000.
5
             Did I accurately state the applicable guidelines?
6
             MR. COALTER: Yes, Your Honor.
7
             THE COURT: Mr. Iverson?
8
             MR. IVERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
9
             THE COURT: I will take that into account on an
10
   advisory basis. I am, of course, bound by the 20-year
11
   statutory maximum, and I think supervised release must be at
12
   least 5 years by statute.
13
             So Probation has suggested a downward variance.
14
             Does anybody have evidence?
15
             MR. COALTER:
                          No evidence, Your Honor.
                          Not from the Government, Your Honor.
16
             MR. IVERSON:
17
             THE COURT: Okay. You know, I do often vary in this
   kind of case, but I usually don't have a prior record like
18
19
   Mr. Wood's. And I think the guideline without the statutory
20
   maximum would have been 360 months to life.
21
             No, that's not right. I'm looking at the wrong
22
   place. Let me look again. Yes, it is right. I was still
23
   looking at the wrong place, but now I'm looking at the right
24
   place. Paragraph 103, guideline range of 360 to life except
25
   for the statutory maximum.
```

```
1
             I will say his criminal history category appears to
   be somewhat overstated. He appears to be unable to comply with
   the laws about having tags and a driver's license, and that
   appears to be responsible for a huge amount of his points. So
5
   those are some of the things that I noticed.
             He has a pending charge. Has that been resolved?
6
7
             THE PROBATION OFFICER: No, Your Honor, it has not.
8
             THE COURT:
                         That's paragraph -- let's see. Criminal
   history, paragraph 75. Okay.
9
10
             Go ahead then, Mr. Coalter.
11
             MR. COALTER: Yes, Your Honor. Just since you've
12
   mentioned criminal history, and I will get into it more in a
13
   little bit, I believe his last driving conviction was in
14
   roughly 2009. So at some point he -- the message got through
15
   to him with regard to driving.
             THE COURT: Oh, you're right. Thank you for pointing
16
   that out. I see that now.
17
             MR. COALTER: But going back through Dr. Hersh's
18
19
   report, the court-ordered psychosexual evaluation, Dr. Hersh on
20
   page 20 kind of sums up Mr. Wood's situation, indicates he's 44
21
   years old, was living in Mount Airy. And he's got -- Dr. Hersh
22
   notes he's got a history of significant psychological and
23
   behavioral difficulties. His psychological functioning has
24
   been impacted by mental illness, trauma, and maladaptive
25
   personality characteristics. He was placed in an out-of-home
```

therapeutic setting from age 9 to 19, and he received inpatient psychiatric treatment multiple times as a child and adolescence. He was reported included in the Willie M. class as a child, indicated that he displayed severe mental or emotional difficulties as well as violent or assaultive behavior. He stated that he was diagnosed with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia, and the medical records reviewed by the United States Probation Office confirmed those diagnoses.

As an adult, he's received disability payments for mental illness, although he was employed up until 2015 when his medical problems prevented him from working.

He received outpatient mental health treatment as an adult, although he was not receiving any treatment during the period of his arrest. He stated that he was -- Mr. Wood was seeking mental health treatment prior to his arrest.

Dr. Hersh diagnosed Mr. Wood with post-traumatic stress disorder. On page 21, Dr. Hersh indicates Mr. Wood has experienced considerable trauma during his lifetime. He was sexually abused on multiple occasions by multiple perpetrators between ages 6 and 9. He was apparently exposed to violence between his parents on at least one — and at least once as a child. He's experienced physical trauma, including head injury at age 14 or 15, which led to a coma lasting two and a half months. As an adult, he experienced the violent deaths of two close friends.

```
1
             Dr. Hersh also diagnosed Mr. Wood with other
   specified personality disorder. This is a pervasive pattern of
   instability, of interpersonal relationships, self-images and
   effects, and marked impulsivity that begins by early adulthood
5
   and is present in a variety of contexts. Mr. Wood displays
   affective instability and a marked reactivity in mood. He is
   likely to be impulsive and reckless and have difficulty
   controlling his anger.
9
             Despite having been diagnosed with schizophrenia,
10
   bipolar disorder, and depression for many years, over a 35-year
11
   period, Dr. Hersh currently rules out those diagnoses in favor
   of post-traumatic stress disorder.
13
             Dr. Hersh also ruled out the diagnosis of pedophilic
14
   disorder. On page 24, Dr. Hersh concluded that Mr. Wood
15
   displays some protective factors that may help reduce his risk
   of sexual recidivism. Mr. Wood has a history of sexual
16
   relationships with adult women, which indicate he can meet his
17
18
   sexual needs in appropriate ways.
```

Although he has a history of alcohol and drug use, he reports that he has dramatically decreased his substance use in recent years.

He expresses some understanding of why his offensive conduct was wrong, and he's open to receiving professional treatment.

Mr. Wood's mother passed away in 2007 due to chronic

US v. Walter Wood -- Sentencing -- 1/19/21

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
obstructive pulmonary disease, or COPD. His father, Bill Wood,
  is 74 years old and also suffers from COPD as well as diabetes
   and severe bronchitis. Mr. Wood, his father, Bill Wood, was
   recently -- had recently had a stroke caused by atrial
5
   fibrillation and has been in the hospital for several weeks and
   rehab after that, although it looks like he's going to be
7
   released.
8
             Walter Wood has one sister, Billy Jo Johnson, who is
   in the courtroom, Your Honor, who supports Mr. Wood.
9
10
   Ms. Johnson states that Mr. Wood is a really good person.
11
   She's quoted as saying, "He'd go without in order to help
12
   others."
13
             Mr. Wood's uncle John Reece is also here to support
14
  him.
15
             Mr. Wood's mental health issues stem from a traumatic
   childhood. Before age 9, he was --
16
17
             THE COURT: Can I ask you -- just a second. I
   somehow overlooked in Dr. Hersh's report this coma when he was
18
19
   14 or 15. That's not in the presentence report. If it is, I
20
   overlooked it there too.
             MR. COALTER: It's referenced --
21
22
             THE COURT: I see it in Dr. Hersh's report now that
23
   you pointed it out to me.
24
             MR. COALTER: Right.
25
                        I see it on page -- I'm looking at the
             THE COURT:
```

```
1
   ECF page numbers. It looks like page 22.
2
             MR. COALTER: Right.
             THE COURT: Was that -- I just didn't see -- maybe he
3
   discussed that elsewhere or explained that. I just missed -- I
5
   just didn't see that earlier.
             MR. COALTER: I saw it in Dr. Hersh's report, Your
6
7
   Honor. I cannot recall whether it ended up in the presentence
   report or not.
9
             THE COURT: I didn't see it, but that doesn't mean it
   isn't there. I'm usually pretty good about catching that kind
10
11
   of thing. Let's see if Dr. Hersh talks about it in the --
12
             MR. COALTER: Right.
13
             THE COURT: -- earlier.
14
             MR. COALTER:
                           There is a reference to the scar that
15
   was associated with it, I believe.
16
             THE COURT: Oh, here it is. It's on page -- this is
   information from Mr. Wood on page 12 -- again, I'm looking at
17
   the ECF page -- 1991, injured riding his bicycle and in a coma
18
19
   for two and a half months, and then hit on the head with a pipe
20
   in 2007.
21
             MR. COALTER: Yes, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Okay. So go ahead. I'm sorry. I just
23
   wanted to kind of get a grip on that because a coma for two and
   a half months is kind of unusual.
24
25
             MR. COALTER: I mean, his physical situation is so
```

large and extensive that there is a lot to report.

But dealing with his traumatic childhood, when he was between 6 and 8 years of age, his cousin James Linville abused him both orally and anally. Another cousin Benji did the same thing. When he was eight, his aunt's husband, Robert Wray sexually, abused both Mr. Wood and his sister. And this was confirmed by Ms. Johnson.

This affected Mr. Wood's mental health between ages 9 and 19. He lived in four groups homes and was committed to five psychiatric hospitals. Additionally, he received outpatient treatment. During this period, he was diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and manic depression, and he was classified as Willie M.

As Mr. Wellman put it in his sentencing memo, he's a very sick man. He's 6'1" and 370 pounds. According to the presentence report, Dr. Hersh's report, the Defendant, and sister, he suffers from morbid obesity, congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease which is what his mother had and his father has, atrial fibrillation, hyperlipidemia, which is a heart ailment, I believe, he's had a brain hematoma, Type 2 diabetes, acute hypoxemic respiratory failure, and as you expect, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, bronchial asthma, obstructive sleep apnea, and a number of other less serious conditions. He currently indicates he takes 16

medications.

Other than the coma as a child, his most serious hospitalization was May 7, 2018, when he had a heart attack; and according to Ms. Johnson, his sister, and the Defendant, at some point his heart flatlined before he was revived.

When he was arrested and in state custody on these same charges, he was transferred to Central Prison because the local county jails could not manage his medical conditions.

With regard to the instant offense, Mr. Wood recognizes the seriousness and wrongfulness of his conduct. He explained that to Dr. Hersh. On the day of his arrest, he voluntarily admitted to the offense and the offense conduct and that his actions were wrong. He's accepted responsibility for his criminal conduct.

In the instant case, there was no in-person meeting despite attempts by the undercover law enforcement to set up such a meeting. Mr. Wood made no attempt to meet the purported victim. He was satisfied with the virtual relationship, and this is consistent with his relationship with Minor 1, which was a relationship that extended over apparently five years, and it was entirely virtual.

His criminal history is noted in the presentence report. The majority of the Defendant's convictions are traffic-related offenses. He has no prior felony convictions. His longest sentence was in 2007, and that sentence was

apparently 150 days at the expiration of 120. I'm not sure if that was modified any bit on the violation, but at least the original sentence was set up to be nine months. And that obviously pales in comparison to what he is facing now.

While we are not disputing any of the relevant conduct, there are some things that the Court should consider when assigning weight to certain relevant conduct. Regarding T.M. in paragraph 40, that ultimately — the case ultimately went to superior court. A full investigation was done, and he was convicted of contributing to the delinquency of a minor. That's a fairly broad offense and is not necessarily sexually related. For that to have happened, given the allegations, the State had some problems with its case.

T.M. indicates that she and Mr. Wood were in a dating relationship at the time. Mr. Wood brought T.M. to meet his family, and she told them that she was 17 years old, going on 18. Now, while that was not necessarily a legal defense, I would argue that it goes to the weight that should be given. Later, and I'm not sure how many years later, T.M. ultimately stabbed Mr. Wood at some point.

With regard to Minor No. 1, that was a five-year relationship. There's allegations in the presentence report that she knew him as James Allen. However, Mr. Iverson and I have reviewed the Snapchat video, and there's at least one in there where she's calling him Cliff. And these are screenshots

```
of the Snapchat, and she calls him Cliff. So she did know his name. She indicates that Mr. Wood did request nude images, but did not request any particular acts.
```

3

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 In reviewing the Government's position paper, the 5 Government places some emphasis on the Static-99R with regard to future dangerousness. First, I would point out that Dr. Hersh has ruled out a pedophilic disorder for Mr. Wood. The Static-99 is a fairly blunt instrument. It's not individualized. It's a test -- it contains several simple 10 yes-no questions to predict future recidivism. In reading 11 Dr. Hersh's report as a whole, he didn't seem to emphasize it. Of the questions that apply to Mr. Wood, about four of them are 13 questionable. One was he has not lived long term with an 14 intimate partner. However, Dr. Hersh's report indicates that 15 Mr. Wood had a long-term relationship with girlfriend Crystal, and they occasionally cohabitated. 16

Another issue was he was previously convicted of a nonsexual violent offense. That was a 1994 assault on a female offense when Mr. Wood was 18 years old, living in a group home. On page 6 of Dr. Hersh's report, Mr. Wood denies actually committing the offense and indicated basically he was asked to make allegations against someone else and refused to do so, and that led to the charges against him.

The next question that had some issues with it was he was previously convicted of a sexual offense. Well, he was

convicted of attributing to the delinquency of a minor. That
could be as innocuous as assisting T.M. from going to school -picking her up at school. And he has a high number of
sentencing dates, and half of those convictions are traffic
offenses.

There are three items such as he's been convicted of noncontact sex offense, committed a sex offense against an unrelated victim, and committed a sex offense against a stranger that are all related to the instant offense and obviously apply. But the four I have gone over, I would argue there's some question to those.

The presentence report recommends a variance to 180 months. The presentence report notes kind of the following factors in support of that:

There's a two-level enhancement in the guideline range for use of a computer and five-level enhancement for 600-plus images, and those regularly appear in these cases and don't really differentiate Mr. Wood from the run-of-the-mill case.

The Defendant agreed to a psychosexual evaluation.

The mental health diagnosis for Mr. Wood, that he was a Willie

M. child, it's noted that this classification may correlate

between mental health and criminal record.

His health issues, quite frankly, Mr. Wood has a shorter -- based on his mental health issues, will have a

shorter life expectancy than most people, including most inmates. He has a -- as such, he has a much greater chance of dying in prison than the typical defendant.

The majority of his offenses are traffic related, and he's been able to control his substance abuse for approximately ten years.

I mentioned earlier that his father, Bill Wood, just came out of the hospital after suffering a stroke. Mr. Wood's chief concern is that his father may die before he's released. His father is in poor health, and that -- you know, I think even when he was arrested when the -- at some point -- it may be in Dr. Hersh's report -- he expressed concern that once he gets out of prison, that there will be nobody there, nobody left. And I think that's his biggest concern.

We would request a downward variance to between 120 and 180 months, a physical examination and treatment for Mr. Wood, mental health evaluation, probably substance abuse treatment. While he's got a fairly good handle on his illegal substance abuse use, currently he takes a lot of medications. And the Court has seen many people come before it where substance abuse problems start with prescription medication.

Ask that he be housed as close to his family in Mount Airy as possible, and at the appropriate time we'd ask the Court to dismiss the remaining counts from the indictment.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Coalter.

```
For the Government?
```

MR. IVERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

The Government recommends a guideline sentence in this matter. Your Honor, the Defendant engaged in a sexually charged conversation with an individual he believed to be a minor. This is not — this is clearly not what one would call mine—run child exploitation or child pornography case. What Mr. Wood did is he disseminated child pornography on two occasions to an individual he believed to be a minor. The Government would argue that he did that in an attempt to groom the minor to engage in essentially online sexual interaction with Mr. Wood, online I will emphasize.

This conduct is not Mr. Wood's only such conduct.

The communications found on his phone, further investigation revealed that he had been engaged in a similar relationship with an actual minor child for an extended period of time, at least 18 months.

I don't know if it was as long as five years, as the minor reported, but we have forensic evidence revealing that it went on for over a year where they were regularly engaged in online communication, including that of a sexual nature and the minor sending Mr. Wood pictures of herself.

Given these factors, the Government believes that a guideline sentence is appropriate.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wood, if you would stand.

```
1
             If there is anything you want to tell me before I
   make the final decision in your case, I'm glad to hear from
        You do not have to speak if there's nothing you want to
   add; but if there is anything you want to tell me, this is your
5
   chance. You just need to stand close to the microphone since
   we're all wearing these masks because of the pandemic.
6
7
             Go ahead.
8
             THE DEFENDANT: Well, Your Honor, I'd just like to
9
   say that I know what I did was wrong, and I just apologize for
   the people that I hurt, my family, their families, and I
10
11
   apologize for having to waste the Court's time and everything
   for this.
13
             THE COURT: So I talked to you a few months back when
14
   I gave you a new lawyer, and you seem calmer today.
15
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yeah.
16
             THE COURT: Are you doing better?
17
             THE DEFENDANT: A little bit.
18
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that.
19
   You can be seated.
20
             Let me look back through everything.
21
         (Pause in the proceedings.)
             THE COURT: Mr. Coalter, did you have anything to say
22
23
   about the suggested conditions of supervised release or the
24
   standard conditions? I took a look. They seemed all
25
   appropriate, but if you thought any of them were -- let me look
```

```
back at them actually, because we just had that decision out of
   the Fourth Circuit. I want to be sure.
3
             MR. COALTER:
                          No, Your Honor. I can see the
   relevance of the financial conditions, and I can see why they
5
   were added, but that would be the only thing I would ask the
   Court maybe to consider.
6
7
             THE COURT: He is going to have the restitution;
   right? You all have agreed, and there's a stipulation on
   restitution?
10
             MR. COALTER:
                           There is, Your Honor, and it's in the
11
   amount of $5,000.
12
             THE COURT:
                         So Special Condition 9 is the one about
13
   not possessing a computer without prior approval, and that
14
   basically covers a smartphone. Under the circumstances of the
15
   offense, that would appear to be appropriate. Of course, I
16
   don't know what the world is going to be like when he gets out.
   You know, he can certainly -- you might not be able to walk
17
   around in the world without a computer when he gets out.
18
19
             MR. COALTER: Yes, Your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: You know, if that's the case, that would
21
   definitely be a change of circumstance. He could seek some
22
   relief from that condition.
23
             Let's see. It does say -- you may not even be able
24
   to do stuff on paper when he gets out, but that's a little
25
   uncertain at this point. I would just note that condition.
                                                                 Ιt
```

```
seems appropriate, in view of the nature and circumstances of
   the offense, and it actually seems like a very good idea.
   before he gets out, if the world has changed even more, as it
   probably will -- we just don't know how -- you know, that one
5
   may bear revisiting in light of whatever the circumstances are
   then, so -- which I am not -- I don't have a crystal ball.
   I don't know what it's going to be like then, but it's -- maybe
   there will be some mechanism that will work better than just
9
   the prohibition. Of course, it does authorize approval by the
10
   probation officer, you know, depending on his situation.
11
             MR. COALTER: Yes, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
13
             Does the Government have any comments about the
14
   standard or suggested conditions of supervised release?
15
             MR. IVERSON: No, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: The other thing about the suggested
   conditions I think I might modify, the only thing, would be
17
   No. 13 where the probation officer is suggesting that he submit
18
19
   to a polygraph test. I have no problem with that if it's part
20
   of sex offender treatment, which I know it often is. In any
21
   other context, I'm not so sure I really feel all that
22
   comfortable with it.
```

Did the Government want to be heard in support of that condition?

MR. IVERSON: No, Your Honor.

23

24

```
1
             THE COURT: Any problem with that more narrow special
2
   condition?
3
             MR. COALTER: No, Your Honor. I know it's going to
  be part of sex offender treatment.
5
             THE COURT: Yeah, it usually is certainly, and I have
   no problem with that. That seems entirely appropriate based on
   the 20-some-odd -- 25-some-odd years I have been looking at
   these kinds of thing.
9
             Mr. Wood, if you would stand.
10
             The Court has reviewed the presentence report and
11
   takes the guidelines into account on an advisory basis.
   reviewed all of the memos submitted by counsel and the
13
   exhibits. I note Mr. Iverson's qualifications of some of his
14
   exhibits, and I have read Dr. Hersh's report. I appeared to
15
   have overlooked the coma; but when I went back, it was clearly
16
   there. I had not highlighted it, but I am so glad that you
   pointed that out, Mr. Coalter. I did read the whole thing
17
   earlier.
18
             You know, ordinarily, I do think the guidelines
19
20
   overstate a bit because of the way they count for a computer
21
   and number of images, but in his case I don't think that is so
22
   because the guideline recommendation has already come down by
23
   virtue of the statutory maximum.
24
             As I look at his situation, with two previous
25
   sexually exploitive relationships and the nature and
```

US v. Walter Wood -- Sentencing -- 1/19/21

circumstances of this offense, you know, clearly the protection of the public is, it seems to me, the primary factor to be taken into account here. I appreciate all of his health problems and all of his mental health problems, but, you know, 5 he engaged in this offense just a couple of months after he had his heart attack, and so bad health doesn't seem to really restrain him. And I'm just -- you know, his mental health issues seem to be part and parcel of the reasons, you know, 9 kind of underlying the criminal conduct here. They don't 10 appear to mitigate, I guess I would say, in terms of reducing 11 the risk of recidivism or protecting the public. They appear to increase the risk of recidivism. 13 Certainly I hope he can get some treatment. He does 14 appear to have developed some insights into his situation. 15 as Dr. Hersh points out, the older he is, the lower the risk. 16 That was in his report at page 25. So at least getting him to 17 age 60 certainly seems to be a good thing in terms of reducing his risk of recidivism. 18 19 So in order protect the public because he is a repeat 20 offender, he does have a bad record, even though most of it 21 seems to have stopped, as Mr. Coalter pointed out recently, and

I have looked at the -- as I say, he's open to treatment. He has some understanding; he's reduced his

think a sentence at the quideline range is appropriate.

the conduct continued, despite his serious health issues, I

US v. Walter Wood -- Sentencing -- 1/19/21

22

23

24

```
substance abuse; and he does recognize the conduct was wrong.
   I am not persuaded that those factors should result in a
   below-guideline sentence. They just don't outweigh the very
   serious risk of recidivism here. And the need to protect the
5
   public is, in my evaluation, paramount.
             So 240 months in the custody of the Bureau of
6
7
   Prisons.
8
             He will need to pay the special assessment, which --
   what is that in his case? It's just $100. No. What about
9
10
   this 5,000-dollar JVTA assessment?
11
             MR. IVERSON: I believe the conduct predates the
12
   enactment of that.
13
             THE COURT: Okay. So that doesn't apply. So it's
14
   just the 100-dollar special assessment; right?
15
             MR. IVERSON:
                           That's correct. And even if that did
16
   apply, he's indigent, so it wouldn't apply.
17
             THE COURT: And then he's got restitution, which the
   parties have handed up a stipulation, $5,000 which the clerk
18
19
   shall pay pursuant to the stipulation.
20
             THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor?
21
             THE COURT: Yes.
22
             THE PROBATION OFFICER: I would say that the JVTA
23
   would have applied because of the conduct that went through;
24
   but my recommendation was not to recommend it because he was
25
   indigent. However, the Court would need to make that finding.
```

```
1
             THE COURT:
                         I see. All right. So it may or may not
   apply; but if it does apply, he's clearly indigent.
3
             MR. COALTER: Yes, Your Honor.
4
             THE COURT: So I will not impose that special
5
   assessment.
6
             I will not impose a fine based on inability to pay.
7
             And I will recommend to the Bureau of Prisons
   intensive substance abuse treatment, mental health treatment,
   and a medical evaluation for multiple chronic health problems.
10
   I'll ask the clerk to be sure to put that in the judgment so
11
   they see it. That's obviously a serious need here.
12
             And subject to those needs, that he be housed as
13
   close as possible to his family in Mount Airy.
14
             I'll require him to comply with the mandatory and
15
   standard conditions of supervised release. Let's see.
   place him on supervised release for 15 years. That actually
16
   seems a little long. He's going to be 62 or -3 when he gets
17
   out probably. So I suppose -- I don't know. I never know -- I
18
19
   can't predict how long anybody is going to live. Let me leave
20
   it at 15 years. He's got this record and a long period of
21
   supervision is appropriate.
22
             So mandatory and standard conditions, I will -- I
23
   will adopt in full the suggested conditions by the probation
24
   officer for the reasons stated in the presentence report
25
   without objection, except I will modify the one about the
```

```
polygraph testing to limit that to polygraph testing in
   connection with mental health or sex offender treatment;
3
   otherwise, not.
             Dismiss Counts One, Three, and Four; is that right?
 4
5
             MR. COALTER: Yes, Your Honor.
6
             THE COURT: Okay. Those are dismissed.
7
             And what have I forgotten, or what else do I need to
8
   do for the Government?
9
             MR. IVERSON: If you will announce for the record
10
   that the judgment will incorporate the forfeiture order which
11
   was issued in May of this year.
12
             THE COURT: Oh, yes, thank you for reminding me.
13
             MR. IVERSON: And then Ms. Patton is absolutely right
14
   about the JVTA. I was thinking of the AVAA. I apologize. I'm
15
   usually pretty good at keeping those straight, but not today.
                         Thank you for correcting that and telling
16
             THE COURT:
17
   her she was right.
             I will adopt the forfeiture proceedings; but in any
18
19
   event, I am going to waive those provisions since he doesn't
20
   have any money to pay them.
21
             Anything else you would ask for, Mr. Coalter?
22
             MR. COALTER: No, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Yes?
23
24
             THE PROBATION OFFICER: I just want to make sure, for
25
   the Bureau of Prisons -- and restitution also I wanted to make
```

```
sure we cleared that up. For the BOP, I understood you
   mentioned mental health, substance abuse and medical, close to
3
   home. Did you mention sex offender treatment?
 4
             THE COURT: No, but I should.
                                             Thank you.
5
             Mental health treatment and sex offender treatment.
6
             THE PROBATION OFFICER: And then if we can mention --
7
   I think there is a stipulation for the restitution.
8
             THE COURT:
                         Yes. Stipulation of $5,000. And I will
9
   waive interest on that just for simplicity sake. He will need
10
   to pay that -- if he doesn't get it paid off while he's in
11
   custody, he will need to pay it on supervised release.
12
             Did you have that in there? Yes. $100 a month.
13
             How much is his disability?
14
             MR. COALTER: $750. I ask the Court to consider $50
15
   a month.
16
             THE COURT: Yeah, I'll reduce that to $50 a month.
17
   That's paragraph No. 2 in the recommended conditions. I will
   reduce that to $50 a month to begin 60 days after his
18
19
   supervised release begins.
20
             THE PROBATION OFFICER: Sorry, Your Honor.
                                                          Just to
21
   confirm, would it be to the victim noted in paragraph 112? I
22
   just wanted to confirm that that is who it would go to.
23
             THE COURT: Sarah depicted in Marineland I; is that
24
   the person in paragraph 12?
25
             THE PROBATION OFFICER:
                                      It is in paragraph 112.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: 112, oh, sorry. No wonder I wasn't
   seeing it.
3
             THE PROBATION OFFICER: And that's what's noted in
4
  the stipulation?
5
             THE COURT: Yes, that's what's noted in the
6
   stipulation.
7
             THE PROBATION OFFICER: Thank you.
8
             THE COURT: Yes, that's right.
9
             Anything else I have forgotten or need to address?
10
             Did I say his appeal rights?
11
             MR. COALTER:
                           There's an appeals waiver in here. I
12
   will explain to him the exceptions. I already have, but I will
13
   go over it with him.
14
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you for reminding me of that.
15
   he does think there is a reason to appeal, he has to do that in
   writing within 14 days of the entry of the Court's judgment.
16
17
             MR. COALTER: Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Iverson?
18
             MR. IVERSON: No, Your Honor.
19
20
             THE COURT:
                         No?
21
             I appreciate his sister and uncle being here.
22
  kind of offense is really hard on families. I know it's hard
23
   to be here even in normal times, and in a pandemic, it's even
24
   worse. I'm sure Mr. Wood greatly appreciates it, and I, too,
25
   want to thank you for being here.
```

```
1
               Court is adjourned.
 2
          (END OF PROCEEDINGS AT 2:50 P.M.)
 3
                                    *****
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

US v. Walter Wood -- Sentencing -- 1/19/21

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
   MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
3
   CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4
5
6
                 Briana L. Bell, Official United States Court
7
   Reporter, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and
   correct transcript of the proceedings in the above-entitled
   matter prepared to the best of my ability.
9
10
              Dated this 18th day of August 2021.
11
12
13
14
                           Briana L. Bell, RPR
15
                           Official Court Reporter
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

US v. Walter Wood -- Sentencing -- 1/19/21